

Structural Group Unfairness Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance

Adrian Arnaiz-Rodriguez

2 1 1 1 S ALICANTE unit

Illustration Credit: Justin Metz Georgina Curto

Nuria Oliver

2 1 1 1 S

Ethics of Data Analytics and AI - University of Notre Dame – Guest Lecture 20 February 2024

Main takeaways

Social Networks are very useful to analyze social dynamics or make predictions about people and their relationships

Specific [Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Curto and Oliver 2024]:



Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Adrian, Georgina Curto, and Nuria Oliver.

"Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance." Submitted. (2024)

Social Networks (or Graphs) are defined by people and interactions between them



Formally, networks are defined as a set nodes (people) connected by links (interactions)



Formally defined, we can analyze different social aspects:

Predictions about people



Risk: if there are segregated or discriminated communities might lead to biased decisions

e 1 1 i s

Formally defined, we can analyze different social aspects:

Predictions about people or about their relations (Recommenders Systems)



Risk: it might lead to polarization or discrimination (disparate information access) due to homophilic behavior

Formally defined, we can analyze different social aspects:

- Predictions about people or about their relations
- Analyze importance of people, the relations, the overall <u>flow of information</u>, the social dynamics,...



Recommendation Systems

<u>Naturally</u>, people connect with each other by some kind of similarity [McPherson2001] Same interests, ideas, hobbies...



Recommender Systems (RecSys) are based on the same Create connections by user similarity based on features and connections e.g.: if we share lot of frieds but we are not already connected → suggest connection

McPherson, Miller, et al. "Birds of a feather: Homophily in social networks." Annual review of sociology (2001)



- Accurately and efficiently quantify polarization [Hohmann, 2023]
- Identify and quantify causes in the generation of the polarization [Santos, 2021]
- Identify new links to enforce diversity (destroy filter bubbles) [Masrour, 2020]
- Identify links that maximize the information flow in the network [Arnaiz-Rodriguez et al, 2024]

Hohmann, Marilena, et al. "Quantifying ideological polarization on a network using generalized Euclidean distance." Science Advances (2023) Santos, Fernando P., et al. "Link recommendation algorithms and dynamics of polarization in online social networks." PNAS (2021) Masrour, Farzan, et al. "Bursting the filter bubble: Fairness-aware network link prediction." AAAI (2020) **Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Adrian, et al. "Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance."** *Submitted* (2024)



Structural Social Capital

Effective Measurement and Mitigation of Information Access and Control disparities in Social Networks using Spectral Graph Theory

Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2305.03223.pdf

e l l i s

What is Social Capital?

Social Capital	 Power derived from your connections on the network Networks, relationships, and norms of trust and reciprocity within a community or society that facilitate cooperation and collective action 	
Structural Social Capi	 Social capital derived from the position of a person in a network [But of the position determines the control and access of information and financial opportunities, education information, health information power to spread out the word or influence others, 	

The flow of information in the network determines the social capital of individuals Some of them will be more central and important for the flow

Burt, Ronald S. The network structure of social capital. *Research in organizational behavior* (2000)

Source: Google

ρ

Unfairness in Social Capital

Different people have different structural role -> Individual disparities wrt information access and control

[Arnaiz-Rodriguez et al, 2024]

Groups are distributed in the network in different manners

- \rightarrow different flow of information on different groups
- → different social capital (Unfairness in Social Capital)

→ Structural Group Unfairness



Accurate Measurement

Effective Mitigation

Burt, Ronald S. The network structure of social capital. *Research in organizational behavior* (2000) Bashardoust, A. Reducing Access Disparities in Networks using Edge Augmentation. *FAccT* (2023) **Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Adrian, et al. Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance. (2024)**

Measure Group Social Capital

Information flow in the network determines the node's information access and control

• IF usually based as **pairwise distances** between nodes

Previous work use metrics that

- Fail to capture the global properties of the topology → Shortest-path
- Using measures that lack theoretical guarantees → Independent Cascade

We propose to use Effective Resistance (R_{uv}) as the pairwise distance metric

- Theoretical measure of information distance between nodes and hence of structural social capital
- Captures global behavior and long-range dependencies
- R_{uv} is the expected time to reach v from u and come back to u
 - i.e., average of all existing paths between u and v!
 - Captures the number and length of paths between nodes
 - The smaller ER is the more and shorter paths between u and v are



We define the (inverse) social capital of a single node as the total sum of all it's distances to everyone else $R_{tot}(u) = \sum_{v \in V} R_{uv}$

$$R_{uv} = (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v) \mathbf{L}^{\dagger} (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\top}$$

Measures of Group Social Capital

Access

Group Isolation

Group Diameter

 $\mathcal{R}_{\text{diam}}(S_i) = \mathbb{E}_{u \sim S_i}[\max_{v \in \mathcal{V}} R_{uv}]$

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{tot}}(S_i) = \mathbb{E}_{u \sim S_i}[R_{tot}(u)]$$

Expected \mathbf{R}_{uv} when sampling one node from group S_i and another node at random

Intuition: average distance to everyone for the nodes of the group

70

60

50

40

30

20



Expected **worst-case** R_{uv} when sampling one node from group S_i and another node at random

Intuition: average maximum distance for the nodes of the group



Control

Group Control

 $B_{\mathsf{R}}(S_i) = \mathbb{E}_{u \sim S_i}[B_{\mathsf{R}}(u)]$ $B_{\mathsf{R}}(u) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} R_{uv},$

Expected Information bottleneck (similar to currentflow betweenness)

Intuition: average information control for the nodes of the group



Measures of Structural Group Unfairness

Based on Rawlsian concept of Fairness, SGU measures the social capital gap for the most disadvantaged group

Isolation Dis	Isolation Disparity		Diameter Disparity		Control Disparity			
$R_{tot}(S_i) = R_{tot}(S_j),$	$\forall i, j \in SA$	4	$\mathcal{R}_{\text{diam}}(S_i) = \mathcal{R}_{\text{diam}}(S_j), \forall i,$	$j \in SA$.	$B_{R}(S_i) = B_{R}(S_j) = 2 - \frac{2}{ \mathcal{V} }, \ \forall \ i, j \in SA$	L.		
$\Delta R_{tot} = \max_{i,j \in SA}(R_{tot})$	$S_i) - R_{tot}(S_j)$)).	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{\text{diam}} = \max_{i,j \in SA} (\mathcal{R}_{\text{diam}}(S_i) - $	$\mathcal{R}_{\operatorname{diam}}(S_j)).$	$\Delta B_{R} = \max_{i,j \in SA}(B_{R}(S_i) - B_{R}(S_j)).$			
G	$R_{tot}\downarrow$		$\mathcal{R}_{diam}\downarrow$		B _R ↑			
Facebook (female	e) 221.4	ΔR_{tot}	2.29	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{diam}$	1.93 ΔB _R			
Facebook (male)	179.8	41.62	2.25	0.042	2.03 0.107			
UNC28 (female)	608.6	ΔR_{tot}	2.11	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{diam}$	1.99 ΔB _R			
UNC28 (male)	586.3	22.4	2.11	0.006	2.00 0.009			
Google+ (female)) 564.1	ΔR_{tot}	1.31	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{diam}$	1.81 ΔB _R			
Google+ (male)	287.7	276.4	1.24	0.078	2.32 0.51			

Group social capital and SGU in real-world online social networks. Group with the largest social capital is highlighted in bold.

Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Adrian, et al. Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance. (2024)

9

How to mitigate SGU?



Common RecSys create connections by user similarity leading to same dynamics of segregation, polarization

RecSys do not maximize Information Flow on the graph, but **engagement → Same SGU**

e l l i s

How to mitigate SGU? Weak ties

We propose a greedy Edge Augmentation Strategy based on weak ties



Create weak connections improves the network's information flow Reduces polarization, discrimination and **isolation** Improves diversity and **optimal flow of information**

Granovetter. The strength of weak ties: A network theory revisited. *Sociological theory* (1983) Burt. 2004. Structural holes and good ideas. *American journal of sociology* (2004) Arnaiz-Rodriguez, et al. Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance. (2024)

ERG: Edge Augmentation to mitigate SGU

- Use Effective Resistance informed edge addition reduce the disparities in social capital between groups and individuals while also improve the social capital for all groups
- Problem defined as a budgeted densifier problem

$$G' = \min_{G' = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}')} \quad \mathbb{E}_{u, v \sim V \times V} \left[R_{uv} \right] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathcal{E}' \setminus \mathcal{E}| = B \quad \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}'$$

Algorithm 1: ERG-Link

Data: Graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, a protected attribute *SA*, budget *B* of total number of edges to add **Result:** New Graph $G' = (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{E}')$ with *B* new edges

1 L = D - A;

2 $S_d = \operatorname{argmax}_{S_i \forall i \in SA} \mathsf{R}_{tot}(S_i)$; // Identify the most disadvantaged group

3 Repeat

4 4 5 6 7 8 $\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}^{\dagger} = \sum_{i>0} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \phi_i \phi_i^{\top} = \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{11^{\top}}{n}\right)^{-1} - \frac{11^{\top}}{n}; \\
\mathbf{R} = 1 \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{L}^{\dagger})^{\top} + \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{L}^{\dagger})\mathbf{1}^{\top} - 2\mathbf{L}^{\dagger}; \\
C = \{(u, v) \mid u \in S_d \text{ or } v \in S_d, (u, v) \notin \mathcal{E}'\}; \\
C = \{(u, v) \mid u \in S_d \text{ or } v \in S_d, (u, v) \notin \mathcal{E}'\}; \\
\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}' \cup \arg\max_{(u,v) \in C} R_{uv}; \\
\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}' \cup \arg\max_{(u,v) \in C} R_{uv}; \\
\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L} + (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)(\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)(\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L} + (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)(\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)(\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)(\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_u - \mathbf{E}_v)(\mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E}_v)^{\top}; \\
\mathbf{$



ERG: Edge Augmentation to mitigate SGU

- Use Effective Resistance informed edge addition reduce the disparities in social capital between groups and individuals while also improve the social capital for all groups
- Problem defined as a budgeted densifier problem

 $G' = \min_{G' = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}')} \quad \mathbb{E}_{u, v \sim V \times V} \left[R_{uv} \right] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |\mathcal{E}' \setminus \mathcal{E}| = B \quad \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}'$

Algorithm 1: ERG-Link

Compute all pairwise ER distances **add** the edge with **maximum ER** that has -at least- one endpoint on the discriminated group

1 L = D - A;

We have a budget of B edges to add, so we have to be very effective

3 Repeat

As ER is heavily theoretically grounded and studied in Theoretical CS, this

R simple approach is effective and provides strong insights

 $C = \{(u,v) \mid u \in S_d \text{ or } v \in S_d, (u,v) \notin \mathcal{E}'\}; // \text{ Select edge candidates}$

\dd edge with maximum effective resistance

```
8 \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L} + (\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)(\mathbf{e}_u - \mathbf{e}_v)^{\mathsf{T}}; // Fast update of L
```







Experiments on Real World: Group SC and SGU

Results on 3 real-world online social networks: Facebook, UNC and Google+

(a) Facebook (<i>B</i> =50)			(b) UNC28 (<i>B</i> =5000)				(c) Google+ (<i>B</i> =5000)				
G (original)	Δ R _{tot} 41.62	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{diam}$ 0.042	Δ B _R 0.107	<i>G</i> (original)	ΔR_{tot} 22.4	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{diam}$ 0.006	ΔB _R 0.009	G (original)	Δ R _{tot} 276.4	$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{diam}$ 0.078	Δ B _R 0.51
Random	38.7	0.039	0.108	Random	19.8	0.005	0.014	Random	129.4	0.037	0.47
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}$	36.3	0.031	0.104	DW	22.2	0.006	0.004	DW	274.1	0.078	0.51
Cos	28.7	0.029	0.120	Cos	19.1	0.005	0.102	Cos	86.8	0.025	0.47
ERG	10.3	0.009	0.098	ERG	8.8	0.002	0.003	ERG	37.1	0.011	0.29



9

S



Effectively mitigates the social capital gap for the most disadvantaged group while increasing the social capital of all groups

Effect on the Network Structure

RecSys

RecSys + Priorization

ERG









9

S

Effect on Individual Social Capital



Effect on Individual Social Capital



Main takeaways

Social Networks are very useful to analyze social dynamics or make predictions about people and their relationships

Specific [Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Curto and Oliver 2024]:

Your position on a **social network** defines the access and control of information you have It is not easy to **quantify it** and intricated to **align the** literature in sociology and graph theory and fairness

There are some individual and group inequalities and not trivial to fix

Social Capital

Quantitative measures of social capital sociology-aligned based on graph theory

Fixed by an edge augmentation strategy based on the weak**est** links

Effective resistance: isolation, diameter and control

ERG: Adding the edge that maximizes the information flow on the whole network

Arnaiz-Rodriguez, Adrian, Georgina Curto, and Nuria Oliver.

"Structural Group Unfairness: Measurement and Mitigation by means of the Effective Resistance." Submitted. (2024)

Rewiring tutorial in LoG conference



https://ellisalicante.org/tutorials/GraphRewiring



Adrián Arnaiz Rodríguez ELLIS Alicante



Francisco Escolano University of Alicante ELLIS Alicante



Nuria Oliver ELLIS Alicante



Edwin Hancock University of York



Ahmed Begga University of Valencia



Arnaiz-Rodríguez, A. et al. "DiffWire: Inductive Graph Rewiring via the Lovász Bound" Learning on Graphs Conference (2022) Arnaiz-Rodríguez, A. et al. "Tutorial on Graph Rewiring: From Theory to Applications in Algorithmic Fairness" Learning on Graphs Conference (2022)



arnaiztech











S

9